

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-7 and 16-19 are active. Claims 16-19 are supported by Claim 10 and the specification as originally filed. Claims 4 and 6 are amended to refer to the X-Ray diffraction patterns of the noted Figures as described in the application as originally filed.

Claims 1-7 and 16-19 are directed to the elected invention, Group I.

Applicants thank Examiners Loewe and Saeed for the courtesy of meeting with their undersigned representative on June 12, 2008. The substance of this discussion is summarized and expanded upon in the remarks below.

During this meeting, the undersigned requested that the claims not be restricted to the elected species as that election was for initial examination purposes only and that no prior art had been identified by the Office that would preclude expansion to the non-elected species. Thus, again, Applicants reiterate their request to expand consideration to all elected species. Upon consideration of this request and expansion of the scope, the objection noted on page 4 of the Action is no longer applicable. Further, the full XRD pattern referenced in the specification has been included as suggested by the Examiner during the aforementioned meeting.

The rejection of Claims 8 and 9 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph is no longer applicable as Claims 8 and 9 have been cancelled.

Application No. 10/562,122
Reply to Office Action of May 1, 2008

A Notice of Allowance is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
Norman F. Oblon



Daniel J. Pereira, Ph.D.
Attorney of Record
Registration No. 45,518

Customer Number
22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413 -2220
(OSMMN 08/07)